Pedosphere
 31(3): 452–459, 2021

 doi:10.1016/S1002-0160(20)60082-0
 ISSN 1002-0160/CN 32-1315/P

 © 2021 Soil Science Society of China
 Published by Elsevier B.V. and Science Press

PEDOSPHERE

www.elsevier.com/locate/pedosphere

# Behavior and respiration responses of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* to soil arsenite pollution

Yali WANG<sup>1,2</sup>, Yizhao WU<sup>1</sup>, Jo CAVANAGH<sup>3</sup>, Xiuhong WANG<sup>1</sup>, Jiangping QIU<sup>1</sup> and Yinsheng LI<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240 (China)

<sup>2</sup>School of Environmental Engineering, Henan University of Technology, Zhengzhou 450001 (China)

<sup>3</sup>Landcare Research, P.O. Box 40, Lincoln 7640 (New Zealand)

(Received July 17, 2020; revised August 21, 2020)

# ABSTRACT

Soil arsenic (As) pollution from mining and industrial sources is a serious issue in China. Earthworms are considered ecosystem engineers and contribute to soil fertility development and maintenance of soil physico-chemical properties. In this study, earthworms were exposed to soils with different sodium arsenite concentrations (0, 5, 20, and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>) for 60 d to investigate the changes in soil properties and the responses of the earthworms (*e.g.*, burrowing activity and respiration). Earthworm burrowing activity decreased with increasing arsenite concentrations, and earthworm respiration was significantly lower in soils with 20 and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> compared to 0 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>. Changes in soil properties were also observed after incubation of As-amended soil with earthworms. Specifically, soil pH decreased, while soil electrical conductivity and contents of soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, Olsen-P, and available K increased. Our results suggest that arsenite negatively impacts the metabolic activity of earthworms, leading to reduced burrowing activity, which in turn modifies the effects of earthworms on soil fertility and remediation.

Key Words: As contamination, earthworm avoidance, earthworm burrowing activity, maximum burrow length, remediation, soil property

Citation: Wang Y L, Wu Y Z, Cavanagh J, Wang X H, Qiu J P, Li Y S. 2021. Behavior and respiration responses of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* to soil arsenite pollution. *Pedosphere*. **31**(3): 452–459.

### INTRODUCTION

Soil arsenic (As) contamination from mining and industrial activities is a serious issue in China, and soil As concentration can reach as high as 935 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> soil (Huang *et al.*, 2006, 2013; Li *et al.*, 2010; Wu *et al.*, 2017). The As is a highly toxic metalloid to plants, animals, and humans and contamination of food crops through contaminated groundwater (Hare *et al.*, 2019). The toxicity and bioavailability of As in soil are strongly influenced by its speciation and distribution in different soil fractions (Xu *et al.*, 2016), which in turn influences the success of remediation strategies.

Earthworms support the bioremediation of polluted soils (Singer *et al.*, 2001; Kou *et al.*, 2008), including metal pollution (Sinha *et al.*, 2010). Metal remediation using earthworms is attributed to changes in metal formation due to earthworm burrowing, thereby increasing metal uptake by plant or leaching by soil solution (Yao *et al.*, 2012). Earthworms are often referred to as ecosystem engineers, as they can mix and translocate the soil constituents through devouring soil (Wu *et al.*, 2015). In uncontaminated soils, earthworm activity can improve soil physical and chemical properties, such as soil nutrients, soil structure, soil microbial community, and plant biomass (Wang *et al.*, 2008; Wang H T *et al.*, 2019). Earthworms alter soil physical and chemical

properties by consuming organic matter of the soil surface and is often used for testing and assessing the efficiency of soil heavy metal remediation (Udovic and Lestan, 2010).

Soil contamination may cause harmful effects on earthworms (Tang *et al.*, 2016). Sub-lethal effects include behavioral responses, such as avoidance of contaminated soil (Yeardley *et al.*, 1996), changes in burrowing activity (Capowiez, 2000), and respiration rate (Dasgupta *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, earthworm activity may influence the binding of metals to different soil fractions, thus changing their availability (Romero-Freire *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the sub-lethal effects on earthworms may indirectly affect soil properties.

We used the common earthworm, *Eisenia fetida*, to assess the effects of soil As amendment on earthworm avoidance, burrowing activity, and respiration. We also assessed changes in soil properties. This study aimed to evaluate the response of earthworms in As-contaminated soils and the corresponding effects on soil.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Soils and earthworms

Bulk soil was collected from the suburban district of Shanghai, China, air-dried, and sieved (2 mm) prior to

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: yinshengli@sjtu.edu.cn.

earthworm exposure. A sub-sample of the bulk soil was collected for chemical analysis.

We purchased earthworms (*E. fetida*) from a commercial breeder and placed them in the collected soils for 3 weeks to acclimate. The *E. fetida* is an epigeic species; however, it exhibit a facultative endogeic behavior in homogeneous mineral soil, burrowing to a depth of 20–30 cm, as described in previous studies (Li *et al.*, 2016; Wang Y L *et al.*, 2019).

#### Arsenite exposure experiment

Before the experiment, we preliminarily assessed the acute toxicity of arsenite on *E. fetida*, yielding an lethal concentration for 50% (LC<sub>50</sub>) of 122 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> (95% confidence interval: [95.6 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>, 132 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>]). Based on this LC<sub>50</sub>, we selected 5, 20, and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil as the test concentrations.

Sodium arsenite (Merck KGaA, Germany) was added as a solution to bulk soils and well-mixed to yield concentrations of 5, 20, and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> dry soil and a moisture content of 35%. These treatments were then aged for 1 month, since previous studies have shown that the As bio-accessibility in alkaline soil stabilizes after 2 weeks (Tang *et al.*, 2007). Sub-samples of soil were taken for chemical analyses after aging and prior to the addition of earthworms.

The earthworms (0.3–0.5 g) used in this study had well-developed clitellum. At the end of the experiment, we reweighed the earthworms. Before adding the earthworms to the exposure containers, earthworms were depurated for 24 h. Soils amended with and without (control) As were replicated three times, and each replicate was comprised of 50 earthworms in 3 kg treated soil in a plastic container. The containers were placed in a room at  $20 \pm 2$  °C for 60-d incubation as previous studies indicated that the maximum accumulation of As in earthworms occurred at this time (Wang and Cui, 2016). Soil moisture was maintained at 35% by adding ultrapure water. After 60 d, all earthworms were removed from the containers for analyses of burrowing activity and respiration. The residual soil was mixed and sub-sampled for chemical analysis.

# Avoidance test

Avoidance tests were conducted following ISO 17512-1 (ISO, 2008). Briefly, one half of a plastic container (17 cm in length, 11.5 cm in width, 6.5 cm in height) was filled with 300 g artificial soil with arsenite (5, 20, and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>), and the other half was filled with the 300 g artificial soil without arsenite (OECD, 2004). The artificial soil was used as the control substrate to compare our results with other studies. Ten earthworms were added to the space of soils with and without arsenite. After 24 h, we assessed the distribution of the earthworms in the two soils. Earthworm avoidance of the As-amended soil was calculated as follows:

Avoidance = 
$$(n_{\text{control}} - n_{\text{As-soil}})/n_{\text{total}}$$
 (1)

where  $n_{\text{control}}$ ,  $n_{\text{As-soil}}$ , and  $n_{\text{total}}$  are the number of the earthworms in the control soil without As, the number of earthworms under the side of As-amended soil, and the total number of the earthworms added. There were 3 replicates for each treatment.

## Earthworm burrowing activity

We used two dimensional terraria to observe the earthworm burrowing activity (Du *et al.*, 2014; Li *et al.*, 2016; Tang *et al.*, 2016). Farm soil unamended with As was used to fill the terraria, and a single earthworm was added to each terrarium (Fig. 1). Four replicate terraria were set up for each exposure concentration. The terraria were kept in a dark room at  $20 \pm 2$  °C. We observed the earthworm burrowing behavior every 4 h for 7 d *via* the tracing method (Du *et al.*, 2014; Tang *et al.*, 2016). The burrowing tracks were analyzed by the software ArcGIS10.0 (ESRI, 2011).



Fig. 1 Typical earthworm burrowing tracks in a terrarium. Data were collected 6 times a day from March 8, 2018 to March 14, 2018. Raw data can be seen in File S1 (see Supplementary Material for File S1).

#### Earthworm respiration

We tested earthworm respiration according to Li *et al.* (2015). Briefly, farm soil unamended with arsenite was sterilized twice according to Zheng *et al.* (2017) (temperature, 121 °C; pressure, 0.3 Mpa; time, 90 min) to avoid  $CO_2$  production from microorganisms (Wolf *et al.*, 1989). Soil (300 g) was added to a conical filtering flask (Fig. S1, see Supplementary Material for Fig. S1), with 10 pre-exposed earthworms from each bulk treatment, yielding 4 replicates

for each of the 0 (control), 5, 20, and 80 mg As  $kg^{-1}$  treatments. Respiration was measured as the CO<sub>2</sub> production over 3 d with results expressed in mL CO<sub>2</sub>  $kg^{-1}$ .

#### Analysis of soil properties

Individual soil sub-samples (approximately 600 g) were air-dried and passed through a 2-mm sieve prior to analyses. Soil chemical properties were measured following Bao et al. (2000). Soil pH was measured at a soil to water ratio of 1:2.5 (weight:volume), and the electrical conductivity (EC) was measured at a soil to water ratio of 1:5 (weight:volume). Each soil sample was divided into five As fractions: nonspecifically absorbed As (Av-As), As bound to Al oxides (Al-As), As bound to Fe oxides (Fe-As), As bound to Ca (Ca-As), and the residual As (Re-As) according to Wu et al. (2006). Briefly, soil (1.0 g) was sequentially extracted by: 1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (20 mL, 20 °C for 30 min) for Av-As, 0.5 mol  $L^{-1}$  NH<sub>4</sub>F (20 mL, 20 °C for 1 h) for Al-As, 0.1 mol  $L^{-1}$  NaOH (20 mL, 20 °C for 17 h) for Fe-As,  $0.5 \text{ mol } L^{-1} \text{ H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (20 mL, 20 °C for 1 h) for Ca-As, and  $HNO_3/H_2O_2$  (3:1, 8 mL, 110 °C for 5 h) digestion for Re-As. The supernatant was decanted and filtered  $(0.22 \,\mu\text{m})$  prior to As analysis. Soil total K, available K, five As fractions, and total As were analyzed by ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Optima 8000, Perkin Elmer, USA).

## Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed by SPSS 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). One-way analysis of variance followed by Duncan multiple comparisons test was used to assess the differences between treatments. Relationships among the earthworm burrowing activity (burrow length), avoidance (avoidance rate), respiration rate, and chemical properties of soils (pH, EC, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N, Olsen-P, Available K, Av-As, Al-As, Fe-As, Ca-As, and Re-As) were identified by principal component (PC) analysis. Values were expressed as means  $\pm$  standard errors (SE), and P < 0.05 was used as the level of significance.

# RESULTS

#### Earthworm avoidance

Earthworm avoidance increased as the As concentration increased, reaching 82% in the 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> treatment. It was significantly greater in the 20 and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> treatments than in the control (Fig. 2).

#### Earthworm burrowing activity

Earthworm burrow length increased continuously over time for all treatments, but the burrowing activity reduced in all As-amended treatments compared to no As control and almost ceased at the highest As concentration toward the end of the test period (Fig. 3). The maximum burrow length (MBL) at day 7 decreased with As concentration, showing a dose-dependent decrease.



Fig. 2 Avoidance of *E. fetida* exposed to soil amended with sodium arsenite at 0, 5, 20, or 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> for 48 h. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means (n = 3). Bars with different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05.



Fig. 3 Cumulative earthworm burrow length and the maximum burrow length at day 7 in soil amended with sodium arsenite at 0, 5, 20, or 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means (n = 3). Bars with different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05.

### Earthworm respiration rate

Earthworm respiration was significantly inhibited in the 20 and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> treatments (Fig. 4). No changes in CO<sub>2</sub> production were observed 3 d after earthworms were removed, indicating that the amount of microorganisms introduced by the earthworms was negligible, thus CO<sub>2</sub> production is solely attributed to earthworm respiration. Earthworm biomass changed significantly after exposure to As-amended soil compared to the earthworm biomass before the experiment (Table SI, see Supplementary Material for Table SI).



Fig. 4 Respiration rate of the earthworms (*E. fetida*) in soil amended with sodium arsenite at 0, 5, 20, or 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>. Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means (n = 3). Bars with different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05.

#### Soil chemical properties

The properties of the original soil before arsenite addition are presented in Table I. Soil chemical properties changed over the course of the testing regime.

## TABLE I

 $\mbox{Properties}^{a)}$  of the soil collected from the suburban district of Shanghai, China

| Soil property                               | Value           |
|---|-----------------|
| pН  | 7.75            |
| EC ( $\mu$ S cm <sup>-1</sup> )             | 129.5           |
| $OM (g kg^{-1})$                            | 18.6            |
| $TP(gkg^{-1})$                              | 0.958           |
| Olsen-P (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> ) | 32.0            |
| $TN (g kg^{-1})$                            | 1.85            |
| $NH_4^+$ -N (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )          | 12.5            |
| $NO_{3}^{-}-N (mg kg^{-1})$                 | 11.5            |
| Texture                                     | Silty clay loam |
| $TK (g kg^{-1})$                            | 2.53            |
| Av-K (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )    | 295             |
| T-As (mg kg <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )    | 7.66            |
| Av-As ( $\mu g k g^{-1}$ )                  | 75.6            |
| Al-As ( $\mu g k g^{-1}$ )                  | 82.7            |
| Fe-As ( $\mu g k g^{-1}$ )                  | 71.9            |
| Ca-As (mg kg $^{-1}$ )                      | 0.84            |
| Re-As (mg kg $^{-1}$ )                      | 6.47            |
| WHC $(g kg^{-1})$                           | 427             |

<sup>a)</sup>EC = electrical conductivity; OM = organic matter; TP = total P; TN= total N; TK= total K; Av-K = available K; T-As = total As; Av-As = non-specifically absorbed As; Al-As = As bound to Al oxides; Fe-As = As bound to Fe oxides; Ca-As = As bound to Ca; Re-As = residual As; WHC = water-holding capacity.

#### Soil As fractions

Total As content (7.7, 12.1, 26.4, and 86.4 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> for soils amended with 0, 5, 20, and 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) in all soils did not change significantly after 1 month of aging, although they were lower after removal of earthworms after 60 d exposure. However, significant differences were only observed in the soil amended with

80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5). Contents of As in the five As fractions decreased in the order of Re-As > Ca-As > Fe-As > Al-As > Av-As, with Re-As comprising 74.5% of the total As in the control and Ca-As comprising 11.1% of the total As in the soil amended with 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup>. Total As content only significantly changed in the 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> treatment after incubation with earthworms, but the distribution of As fractions changed in all As-amended treatments, with a decrease of Re-As fraction, and increases of the Fe-As, Al-As and Av-As fractions.



Fig. 5 Arsenic fractions in soils amended with sodium arsenite at 0 (As-0), 5 (As-5), 20 (As-20), or 80 (As-80) mg As  $kg^{-1}$  after 30-d aging (a) and 60-d incubation with earthworms following 30-d aging (b). Av-As = non-specifically absorbed As; Al-As = As bound to Al oxides; Fe-As = As bound to Fe oxides; Ca-As = As bound to Ca; Re-As = residual As.

# Soil pH and EC

After 1 month of aging, pH in As-amended soils was significantly lower than the control soil without As, but there were no significant differences among As-amended soils (Fig. 6). Addition of earthworms decreased soil pH after 60-d incubation, with the lowest pH in the 20 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> treatment. Soil EC increased slightly with increasing As concentrations in the aged soils amended with As, and this effect was more pronounced in soils after 60-d incubation with earthworms.

#### Soil nutrient properties

With the exception of  $NO_3^-$ -N, soil nutrient properties changed significantly after incubation with earthworms (Table II). Soil properties also varied in a dose-dependent manner with  $NH_4^+$ -N, Av-K and Olsen-P contents decreasing, whereas  $NO_3^-$ -N and Av-K contents increasing with



Fig. 6 pH and electrical conductivity in soils amended with sodium arsenite at 0, 5, 20, or 80 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> after 30-d aging (Soil 1), followed by 60-d incubation with earthworms (Soil 2). Vertical bars indicate standard errors of the means (n = 3). Bars with different letters are significantly different at P < 0.05.

#### TABLE II

Nutrient properties of soils amended with sodium arsenite at 0 (As-0), 5 (As-5), 20 (As-20), or 80 (As-80) mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> before (Soil 0) and after (Soil 1) 30-d aging, followed by 60-d incubation with earthworms (Soil 2)

| Soil   | $NH_4^+$ -N                                 | $NO_3^N$                 | Olsen-P                     | Av-K <sup>a)</sup>        |  |
|--------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--|
|        | mg kg <sup>-1</sup>                         |                          |                             |                           |  |
| Soil 0 | $13.53 \pm 0.39^{\mathrm{b}}b^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $11.43 \pm 0.57 f$       | $27.46 \pm 1.16$ de         | $295.12 \pm 5.78 f$       |  |
| Soil 1 |   |                          |                             |                           |  |
| As-0   | $15.70\pm0.60a$                             | $40.44 \pm 0.79e$        | $31.10 \pm 2.45 d$          | $288.87\pm5.68\mathrm{c}$ |  |
| As-5   | $12.06 \pm 0.15$ cd                         | $44.85\pm1.27d$          | $29.26\pm0.46\mathrm{d}$    | $272.17 \pm 4.72 d$       |  |
| As-20  | $16.43 \pm 1.21a$                           | $47.40 \pm 0.41c$        | $24.97 \pm 0.61e$           | $241.60 \pm 4.52e$        |  |
| As-80  | $10.34 \pm 0.97$ d                          | $54.17 \pm 0.90a$        | $24.20 \pm 0.34e$           | $231.53 \pm 3.50e$        |  |
| Soil 2 |   |                          |                             |                           |  |
| As-0   | $12.36 \pm 0.06$ bc                         | $47.20 \pm 0.24c$        | $59.41 \pm 1.26a$           | $334.54 \pm 3.16$ ab      |  |
| As-5   | $11.34 \pm 0.05$ cd                         | $49.83 \pm 1.31b$        | $48.44 \pm 1.81b$           | $330.17 \pm 2.32b$        |  |
| As-20  | $7.41 \pm 0.07e$                            | $50.82\pm0.07\mathrm{b}$ | $43.92 \pm 0.91c$           | $333.74 \pm 1.13$ ab      |  |
| As-80  | $6.43 \pm 0.06e$                            | $54.91\pm0.02a$          | $42.60 \pm 1.60 \mathrm{c}$ | $344.47\pm4.88a$          |  |
|        |   |                          |                             |                           |  |

<sup>a)</sup>Available K.

<sup>b)</sup>Means  $\pm$  standard errors (n = 3).

 $^{c)}$  Means followed by different letters are significantly different at P > 0.05 within a column according to the least significant difference test.

increasing As content. The most marked change in  $NO_3^-$ -N content occurred after aging, with significant increases observed in control and As-amended soils.

# *Relationships between earthworm behavior and soil properties*

Pearson's correlation analysis results are shown in Table SI. These results can be considered in 6 blocks: i) correlations between earthworm behavior data (respiration was strongly negatively correlated with earthworm avoidance, while MBL was positively correlated with respiration; ii) correlations between total As and As fractions (all parameters were significantly strongly positively correlated with each other, except for Ca-As); iii) correlations between total As and As fractions were positively correlated with avoidance, but negatively correlated with MBL and respiration rate); iv) correlations between general soil properties (only EC was positively correlated with  $NH_4^+$ -N; v) correlations between As fractions and general soil properties (generally As fractions were positively correlated with EC,  $NO_3^-$ -N,

and Av-K, but negatively correlated with pH,  $NH_4^+$ -N, and Olsen-P); and vi) correlations between earthworm behavior data and general soil properties (EC was positively correlated with avoidance, while  $NH_4^+$ -N was negatively correlated with avoidance,  $NO_3^-$ -N was negatively correlated with MBL, and EC and  $NO_3^-$ -N were negatively correlated with respiration).

Principal component analysis of the aged soils showed that the first two PCs (PC 1 and PC 2) accounted for 89.2% of the overall variability (Fig. 7a, Fig. S2a, see Supplementary Material for Fig. S2a). The PC 1, comprising soil total As, five As fractions, and  $NO_3^-$ -N, accounted for 78.2% of the total variability. After incubation with earthworms for 60 d, EC and  $NH_4^+$ -N concentration were more tightly clustered within the two clusters, while Av-K was associated with a completely different cluster (Fig. 7b, Fig. S2b, see Supplementary Material for Fig. S2b). Distribution of the aged soils with different concentrations of As changed after incubation with earthworms (Fig. S2). Soil without As amendment was initially located on the far left, but soils with 0 and 20 mg As kg<sup>-1</sup> moved to the right after incubation with earthworm. The first two PCs accounted for 90.1% of the



Fig. 7 Principal component (PC) analysis of soil properties and earthworm behavior after 30-d aging (a), followed by 60-d incubation with earthworms (b). EC = electrical conductivity; Av-K = available K; T-As = total As; Av-As = non-specifically absorbed As; Al-As = As bound to Al oxides; Fe-As = As bound to Fe oxides; Ca-As = As bound to Ca; Re-As = residual As; MBL = maximum burrow length.

total variability, with PC 1, including earthworm avoidance and soil EC,  $NO_3^-$ -N, Av-K, total As, and five As fractions accounting for 78.8%.

#### DISCUSSION

Earthworms were sensitive to As amendment, with a significant increase in avoidance behavior at  $\ge 20 \text{ mg As}$  $kg^{-1}$  (Fig. 2). Other studies have also demonstrated earthworm avoidance of chemical contamination, including Cu (Xing et al., 2017), ether (Du et al., 2014), enrofloxacin (Li et al., 2015), and Hg (Tang et al., 2016). Reduced burrowing activity has been observed in soils contaminated with a range of chemicals, including pesticides (Capowiez and Bérard, 2006; Dittbrenner et al., 2011), ethers (Du et al., 2014), and heavy metals (Tang et al., 2016, Wang Y L et al., 2019). We did the post-exposure behavioral test in this study, with similar results of earthworms to Aporrectodea caliginosa and Lumbricus terrestris under imidacloprid exposure (Dittbrenner et al., 2011). The total burrow length decreased with increasing pollutant concentrations. Our results suggest that the effect of As amendment on burrowing behavior may be caused by physiological damages in the earthworms, which was consistent with the results of Dittbrenner et al. (2011). This effect persisted even after the earthworms were removed from the As-amended soil, suggesting permanent injury to the earthworm. Similarly, we observed that respiration was reduced in earthworms previously exposed to As, suggesting decreased metabolic activity. Other studies also reported reduced respiration after exposure to chemicals (Tang *et al.*, 2016). Reduced metabolic activity of earthworms may provide an explanation for the reduced MBL, *i.e.*, earthworms could not sustain their burrowing activity.

Many factors may influence the availability and binding nature of As in soil, including concentrations of phosphate, Al, Fe, and organic matter and pH (Bhumbla and Keefer, 1994; Huang, 1994; Langdon et al., 2003). Sequential extraction techniques provide a method to assess how As is bound to different soil components. Using this approach, the non-specifically absorbed As (Av-As) is the most weakly bound and is considered the most bioavailable form (Yu and Liao, 2016), whereas the residual component is the most strongly bound and unavailable. We found that incubation with earthworms increased the potential bioavailability of As as reflected by a reduction in Re-As and an increase in the more available Fe-As. This is consistent with Sizmur et al. (2011), who observed soil residual As fraction decreased after incubation with earthworm. The mechanism underlying this change is unclear.

Earthworms play an important role in agro-ecosystems in maintaining soil fertility through improving soil nutrient properties by earthworm movement via ingestion of soil particles and modification of soil microflora (Choosai et al., 2010; Pérès et al., 2011). Soil pH was lower after incubation with earthworms (Fig. 6a). Increased soil microbial activities following earthworm inoculation and secretions of earthworms may change the degradation or metabolism of organic matter in soils, thereby changing soil pH (Wang et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2019). However, the mechanism of pH decrease in this study requires further exploration. Soil EC increased with increasing As concentrations (Fig. 6b), perhaps due to the addition of metal salt and increased Av-K in soil. Elevated Av-K induced by earthworm has been reported in other studies (Choosai et al., 2010), and it is attributed to K-solubilizing bacteria (Wu et al., 2012).

Soil incubation with earthworms also greatly influenced  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N concentrations, which in turn suggests an influence on N cycling in soil. Specially, the increased  $NO_3^-$ -N may arise from the stimulation of microorganisms that mineralize organic N (Li *et al.*, 2002). Soil nitrification process can increase the transformation of  $NH_4^+$ -N to  $NO_3^-$ -N (Wang *et al.*, 2008). In this study, soil  $NO_3^-$ -N was negatively correlated with  $NH_4^+$ -N (correlation coefficient = -0.884), confirming the transformation of  $NH_4^+$ -N to  $NO_3^-$ -N (Table SII, see Supplementary Material for Table SII).

Incubation of soil with earthworms markedly increased soil Olsen-P in this study, similar to previous study (Singh *et al.*, 2016). Earthworm activity influences soil Olsen-P *via* their escape and avoidance behavior (Givaudan *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, increased earthworm activity may cause soil particles in the gut to be more finely ground, thus enhancing the release of nutrients or minerals from organically bound N, P, and K (Singh *et al.*, 2017). This is similar to the result of Suárez *et al.* (2004) that earthworm activity influenced soil total P and different P fractions.

# CONCLUSIONS

Arsenite addition reduced the respiration rate and burrowing activity of earthworms. Inoculation of earthworms markedly changed soil properties and As fractionation. Earthworms made As more active in soils, which may induce more toxic effects to soil organisms and enhance the bioaccumulation of hyperaccumulators during soil remediation. Physiological responses of earthworms to As amendment helped to develop the biomarker of soil As contamination. Understanding the interaction of soil contaminants and earthworms and the subsequent changes in soil properties caused by earthworms increased our knowledge of As environmental toxicity and provided valuable information for future environmental monitoring, assessment, and remediation of soil As contamination.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study was financed by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2017YFD0501405), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41471203), the Natural Science Projects of Henan University of Technology, China (No. 2019BS037), and the Key Projects of Shanghai Municipal Agricultural Commission, China (No. 2016 6-3-2).

# SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material for this article can be found in the online version.

# REFERENCES

- Bao S D. 2000. Soil and Agricultural Chemistry Analysis (in Chinese). 3rd Edn. China Agriculture Press, Beijing.
- Bhumbla D K, Keefer R F. 1994. Arsenic mobilization and bioavailability in soils. *In Nriagu J O (ed.) Arsenic in the Environment. Part 1. Cycling* and Characterization. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. pp. 51–82.
- Capowiez Y. 2000. Differences in burrowing behaviour and spatial interaction between the two earthworm species *Aporrectodea nocturna* and *Allolobophora chlorotica*. *Biol Fertil Soils*. **30**: 341–346.
- Capowiez Y, Bérard A. 2006. Assessment of the effects of imidacloprid on the behavior of two earthworm species (*Aporrectodea nocturna* and *Allolobophora icterica*) using 2D terraria. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* **64**: 198–206.
- Choosai C, Jouquet P, Hanboonsong Y, Hartmann C. 2010. Effects of earthworms on soil properties and rice production in the rainfed paddy fields of Northeast Thailand. *Appl Soil Ecol.* **45**: 298–303.
- Dasgupta R, Chakravorty P P, Kaviraj A. 2012. Effects of carbaryl, chlorpyrifos and endosulfan on growth, reproduction and respiration of tropical epigeic earthworm, *Perionyx excavatus* (Perrier). *J Environ Sci Health Part B.* 47: 99–103.
- Dittbrenner N, Moser I, Triebskorn R, Capowiez Y. 2011. Assessment of short and long-term effects of imidacloprid on the burrowing behaviour of two earthworm species (*Aporrectodea caliginosa* and *Lumbricus terrestris*) by using 2D and 3D post-exposure techniques. Chemosphere. 84: 1349–1355.
- Du Y T, Rao P H, Li Y S, Qiu J P, Qiu W G, Tang H, Potter M A. 2014. Toxicological responses of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* to 18-crown-6 under laboratory conditions. *Bull Environ Contam Toxicol.* 93: 452–455.

- ESRI. 2011. ArcGIS 10.0. Environmental Systems Research Incorporated, Redlands.
- Givaudan N, Wiegand C, Le Bot B, Renault D, Pallois F, Llopis S, Binet F. 2014. Acclimation of earthworms to chemicals in anthropogenic landscapes, physiological mechanisms and soil ecological implications. *Soil Biol Biochem.* **73**: 49–58.
- Hare V, Chowdhary P, Kumar B, Sharma D C, Baghel V S. 2019. Arsenic toxicity and its remediation strategies for fighting the environmental threat. *In* Bharagava R N, Chowdhary P (eds.) Emerging and Eco-Friendly Approaches for Waste Management. Springer, Singapore. pp. 143–170.
- Huang R Q, Gao S F, Wang W L, Staunton S, Wang G. 2006. Soil arsenic availability and the transfer of soil arsenic to crops in suburban areas in Fujian Province, Southeast China. *Sci Total Environ.* **368**: 531–541.
- Huang Y C, 1994. Arsenic distribution in soils. *In* Nriagu J O (ed.) Arsenic in the Environment. Part 1. Cycling and Characterization. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. pp. 17–49.
- Huang Y Y, Chen G F, Xiong L M, Wang Y N, Ye K F, Zhang L, Liao Q Z, Wang J. 2013. Survey and evaluation of heavy metal contamination of paddy soil in Guangxi. *J Anhui Agric Sci* (in Chinese). **41**: 10648–10649, 10852.
- International Standard Organization (ISO). 2008. ISO 17512-1:2008. Soil Quality—Avoidance Test for Determining the Quality of Soils and Effects of Chemicals on Behavior. Part 1. Test with Earthworms. ISO, Geneva.
- Kou Y G, Fu X Y, Hou P Q, Zhan Z C, Bai W, Yao Y. 2008. The study of lead accumulation of earthworm in lead pollution soil. *Environ Sci Manage* (in Chinese). **33**: 62–64, 73.
- Langdon C J, Piearce T G, Meharg A A, Semple K T. 2003. Interactions between earthworms and arsenic in the soil environment: A review. *Environ Pollut.* **124**: 361–373.
- Li L F, Zeng X B, Bai L Y, Li S H. 2010. Soil arsenic content and its health risk assessment for agricultural products in the region surrounding Shimen arsenic sulphide mine. *Chin J Appl Ecol* (in Chinese). **21**: 2946–2951.
- Li X Y, Fisk M C, Fahey T J, Bohlen P J. 2002. Influence of earthworm invasion on soil microbial biomass and activity in a northern hardwood forest. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 34: 1929–1937.
- Li Y S, Hu Y X, Ai X J, Qiu J P, Wang X H. 2015. Acute and sub-acute effects of enrofloxacin on the earthworm species *Eisenia fetida* in an artificial soil substrate. *Eur J Soil Biol.* **66**: 19–23.
- Li Y S, Tang H, Hu Y X, Wang X H, Ai X J, Tang L, Matthew C, Cavanagh J, Qiu J P. 2016. Enrofloxacin at environmentally relevant concentrations enhances uptake and toxicity of cadmium in the earthworm *Eisenia fetida* in farm soils. *J Hazard Mater.* **308**: 312–320.
- Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). 2004. OECD Guidelines for the testing of chemicals. *Meth Mol Biol.* 947: 37–56.
- Pérès G, Vandenbulcke F, Guernion M, Hedde M, Beguiristain T, Douay F, Houot S, Piron D, Richard A, Bispo A, Grand C, Galsomies L, Cluzeau D. 2011. Earthworm indicators as tools for soil monitoring, characterization and risk assessment. An example from the national Bioindicator programme (France). *Pedobiologia*. 54: S77–S87.
- Romero-Freire A, Peinado F J M, Ortiz M D, van Gestel C A M. 2015. Influence of soil properties on the bioaccumulation and effects of arsenic in the earthworm *Eisenia Andrei*. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 22: 15016–15028.
- Singer A C, Jury W, Luepromchai E, Yahng C S, Crowley D E. 2001. Contribution of earthworms to PCB bioremediation. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 33: 765–776.
- Singh P, Mitra S, Majumdar D, Bhattacharyya P, Prakash A, Borah P, Paul A, Rangan L. 2017. Nutrient and enzyme mobilization in earthworm casts: A comparative study with addition of selective amendments in undisturbed and agricultural soils of a mountain ecosystem. *Int Biodeterior Biodegrad.* 119: 437–447.
- Singh S, Singh J, Vig A P, 2016. Earthworm as ecological engineers to change the physico-chemical properties of soil: Soil vs vermicast. *Ecol Eng.* 90: 1–5.

- Sinha R K, Valani D, Sinha S, Singh S, Heart S. 2010. Bioremediation of contaminated sites: A low-cost nature's biotechnology for environmental clean up by versatile microbes, plants & earthworms. *In* Faerber T, Herzog J (eds.) Solid Waste Management and Environmental Remediation. Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York. pp. 978–981.
- Sizmur T, Palumbo-Roe B, Watts M J, Hodson M E. 2011. Impact of the earthworm *Lumbricus terrestris* (L.) on As, Cu, Pb and Zn mobility and speciation in contaminated soils. *Environ Pollut.* 159: 742–748.
- Suárez E R, Pelletier D M, Fahey T J, Groffman P M, Bohlen P J, Fisk M C. 2004. Effects of exotic earthworms on soil phosphorus cycling in two broadleaf temperate forests. *Ecosystems*. **7**: 28–44.
- Tang H, Yan Q R, Wang X H, Ai X J, Robin P, Matthew C, Qiu J P, Li X D, Li Y S. 2016. Earthworm (*Eisenia fetida*) behavioral and respiration responses to sublethal mercury concentrations in an artificial soil substrate. *Appl Soil Ecol.* **104**: 48–53.
- Tang X Y, Zhu Y G, Shan X Q, McLaren R, Duan J. 2007. The ageing effect on the bioaccessibility and fractionation of arsenic in soils from China. *Chemosphere*. 66: 1183–1190.
- Udovic M, Lestan D. 2010. *Eisenia fetida* avoidance behavior as a tool for assessing the efficiency of remediation of Pb, Zn and Cd polluted soil. *Environ Pollut.* **158**: 2766–2772.
- Wang B, Li G, Chen H, Jiao J G, Liu M Q, Jiang Y U, Hu F, Li H X. 2013. Dynamic variation of soil chemical compositions and properties under the action of earthworms. *J Soil Water Conserv* (in Chinese). 27: 273–277.
- Wang H T, Zhu D, Li G, Zheng F, Ding J, O'Connor P J, Zhu Y G, Xue X M. 2019. Effects of arsenic on gut microbiota and its biotransformation genes in earthworm *Metaphire sieboldi*. *Environ Sci Technol.* 53: 3841–3849.
- Wang X, Li H X, Zhu L, Liu B, Hu F. 2008. Effects of earthworms on soil N mineralization. Acta Pedol Sin (in Chinese). 45: 641–648.
- Wang Y L, Tang H, Matthew C, Qiu J P, Li Y S. 2019. Sodium arsenite modified burrowing behavior of earthworm species *Metaphire californica* and *Eisenia fetida* in a farm soil. *Geoderma*. 335: 88–93.
- Wang Z F, Cui Z J. 2016. Accumulation, biotransformation, and multibiomarker responses after exposure to arsenic species in the earthworm *Eisenia fetida. Toxicol Res.* 5: 500–510.

- Wolf D C, Dao T H, Scott H D, Lavy T L. 1989. Influence of sterilization methods on selected soil microbiological, physical, and chemical properties. *J Environ Qual.* 18: 39–44.
- Wu B, Liao X Y, Chen T B, Yan X L. 2006. Comparison of five methods for fractionation of calcareous soil contaminated with arsenic. *Acta Sci Circum* (in Chinese). 26: 1467–1473.
- Wu D, Liu M Q, Song X C, Jiao J G, Li H X, Hu F. 2015. Earthworm ecosystem service and dis-service in an N-enriched agroecosystem: Increase of plant production leads to no effects on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 82: 1–8.
- Wu F Y, Bi Y L, Mao Y L. 2012. Effects of earthworms and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on availability of N and K in soil. *Hubei Agric Sci* (in Chinese). **51**: 3186–3189.
- Wu Y, Zhou X Y, Lei M, Yang J, Ma J, Qiao P W, Chen T B. 2017. Migration and transformation of arsenic: Contamination control and remediation in realgar mining areas. *Appl Geochem.* 77: 44–51.
- Xing Y S, Luo J H, Zhang J J, Li B, Gong X Y, Liu Z, Liu C G. 2017. Effects of single and combined exposures to copper and benzotriazole on *Eisenia fetida*. *Chemosphere*. **186**: 108–115.
- Xu K, Liu Y X, Cheng J M, Feng F L. 2019. Effects of earthworms on the phytoremediation of Indian mustard for soil contaminated by Zn and Pb. *Chin J Soil Sci* (in Chinese). **50**: 203–210.
- Xu L Y, Wu X, Wang S F, Yuan Z D, Xiao F, Ming Y, Jia Y F. 2016. Speciation change and redistribution of arsenic in soil under anaerobic microbial activities. *J Hazard Mater.* **301**: 538–546.
- Yao Z T, Li J H, Xie H H, Yu C H. 2012. Review on remediation technologies of soil contaminated by heavy metals. *Proceedia Environ Sci.* 16: 722– 729.
- Yeardley Jr R B, Gast L C, Lazorchak J M. 1996. The potential of an earthworm avoidance test for evaluation of hazardous waste sites. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* 15: 1532–1537.
- Yu C W, Liao V H C. 2016. Transgenerational reproductive effects of arsenite are associated with H3K4 dimethylation and SPR-5 downregulation in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Environ Sci Technol.* **50**: 10673–10681.
- Zheng J H, Chen H Y, Li J Q, Nie M, Fang C M. 2017. The effects of different soil sterilization treatments on soil microbial activity. J Fudan Univ (Nat Sci) (in Chinese). 56: 681–691.